



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/765,291

01/22/2001

Joe W. Gray

81906-719168 (175400US)

9405

20350

7590

01/10/2013

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

BRUSCA, JOHN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/10/2013

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipefiling@kilpatricktownsend.com
jlhice@kilpatrick.foundationip.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/765,291	Applicant(s) GRAY ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN S. BRUSCA	Art Unit 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN S. BRUSCA (USPTO). (3) ____.

(2) Jean Lockyer. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 04 January 2013.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The applicants were informed that the declaration under 37 CFR 132 overcomes all grounds of rejection. The applicants were informed that the drawings submitted on 02 March 2012 were not entered as color drawings in the application file and that the Office could not approve the petition for color drawings until color drawings were present in the application file. It appears that the drawings received 02 March 2012 were color drawings that were scanned only as black and white drawings through error by the Office. The applicants stated that they would resubmit the color drawings for entry into the application file.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/JOHN S BRUSCA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631	
--	--